

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/994,526	11/27/2001	Akira Tsubouchi	18733/00070	8523	
24731	7590 04/09/2003		•		
SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP			EXAMINER		
717 NORTH SUITE 3400	HARWOOD	KRAMER, DEVON C			
DALLAS, TX 75201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3683	<u> </u>	
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

c		Application No	.	Applicant(s)		\neg	
		09/994,526		TSUBOUCHI ET AL	/		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		\Box	
		Devon C Krame	er	3683	l		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a				ress	\dashv	
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state	I. 1.136(a). In no event, how bely within the statutory m d will apply and will expire te, cause the application	vever, may a reply be tin inimum of thirty (30) day a SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.		
	eply received by the Office later than three months after the mail d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ing date of this communic	ation, even if timely filed	, may reduce any			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	2 March 2002 .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 1	This action is non-	final.				
3) Disposition	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims				merits is		
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are withdrawn	from consideratior	۱.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					ĺ	
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election require	ement.				
Application	on Papers						
9)□ ד	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)∐ Т	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objec	ted to by the Exa	miner.		İ	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be he	eld in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)□ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ approv	red b)□ disappro	ved by the Examiner			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r		ction.				
12)[] T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					ŀ	
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been rec	eived.				
;	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	 Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis 	Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		tage		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes				application).	()	
_a)	The translation of the foreign language p	rovisional applicat	ion has been rec	eived.			
Attachment		•			ulllan	M	
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)		(PTO-413) Pape No(3) Patent Application (PTO-	World Strik	AR LEP	
S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		Action Summary		Part of	Eperito. 5	_	

Application/Control Number: 09/994,526

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1) Applicant's election without traverse of group 1 in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged.
- 2) Claim 7 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 4.
- 3) Applicant's election with traverse of Species 1 in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there are a reasonable number of species presented in the application. This is not found persuasive because the numerous patentably distinct species requires searching diverse areas.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4) The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5) Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Holka (5082077).

Holka provides a hollow rack shaft (32) for a steering system having: two rack teeth groups (116, 118) respectively formed by plastic working and located longitudinally apart from each other; where the rack teeth groups are out of phase by an angle around the axis of the shaft (figure 9).

Application/Control Number: 09/994,526 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7) Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holka (5082077) in view of Anderson et al (4741191).

Holka is silent to how the teeth are formed.

Anderson et al teaches forming rack teeth by die forming.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have formed the teeth of Holka with a die as taught by Anderson et al merely to provide an inexpensive, commonly practiced method of forming the teeth. It would have been obvious to either form the teeth either sequentially or simultaneously depending on the die used, equipment available, and the time available for production.

Conclusion

- 8) The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Holka (5197561), D'Alessio et al, Guey, Dominguez, Millard, Klasing, and Downing all provide racks with two sets of teeth.
- 9) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devon C Kramer whose telephone number is 703-305-0839. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4.

Application/Control Number: 09/994,526

Art Unit: 3683

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on 703-308-3421. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-3519 for regular communications and 703-308-3519 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1134.

DK March 25, 2003